

PR & THE LAW (HOW TO WORK TOGETHER!)





SUNSHINE LAW





Missouri Sunshine Law

- Also known as Open Meetings Act
- Introduced in 1973, seven years after the Freedom of Information Act was passed in Congress
 - Same year that the United States Senate Watergate
 Committee conducted its hearings
- Purpose is to encourage openness is government





Key Definitions

• "Public Record" -RSMo. § 610.010(6): any record, whether written or electronically stored, **retained by** or of any public governmental body . . . or other document or study prepared for the public governmental body by a consultant or other professional service paid for in whole or in part by public funds. . . ; provided, however, that personally identifiable student records maintained by public educational institutions shall be open for inspection by the parents . . . and the student if the student is over the age of eighteen years.



Open v. Closed

- RSMo § 610.021. Closed meetings and closed records authorized when, exceptions.
 - -Except to the extent disclosure is otherwise required by law, a public governmental body is authorized to close meetings, records and votes, to the extent they relate to the following:





Open v. Closed

• A "closed record," is defined as "any meeting, record, or vote closed to the public." RSMo. § 610.010(1)







Closed Records - examples

RSMo § 610.021

- Legal actions and privileged and confidential communications with attorneys
- ✓ Leasing, purchase, or sale of real estate
- Hiring, firing, disciplining, or promotion of particular employees
- ✓ Student matters
- ✓ Preparation for and discussions concerning negotiations with employee groups
- ✓ Individually identifiable personnel records or records pertaining to employees

RSMo. § 610.021





Records Requests

- What do requests for access to public records need to look like to be valid?
 - –Almost anything! (FUN!)
- Do not need to be in writing (but it is recommended they should be for record keeping purposes)
- Anyone may make a request
- Entitled to inspect or copy documents (not information)





What to do when you receive a records request

- Consider the type of request
 - -FERPA?
 - -Sunshine Act?
 - -Subpoena?
 - -Authorization for release of records?





Responding to Requests

- Respond in three (3) business days
- If access is not immediately granted, the Custodian of Records must explain why the records cannot be produced without delay and give the time and place the record will be made available
- If access is denied, the Custodian of Records should provide, upon request, a written statement of the reasons for the denial, including the statute that authorizes the denial
- If a public record contains material which is exempt and nonexempt, the District shall separate it and make the non-exempt material available for examination





Can you charge fees?

- Not to exceed 10 cents per page, plus cost of clerical staff who make copies (not to exceed average hourly rate of pay for clerical staff)
- If employee time is required in responding to a request for records, may charge at the actual cost of each search and copying time.
 - Research?
- Payment of copy charges may be required prior to the making of copies
 - May NOT require payment of research fees prior to providing
- Copies may be furnished without charge or at a reduced charge if in the public interest



Responding to Requests

Current Rules

- Cannot charge for attorney time searching for/reviewing the responsive documents.
- Can charge for staff time to search what is responsive.
- Cannot require payment in advance for research, but can for copying costs.
- Must give a date you anticipate the documents will be ready when sending the 3-day letter if you are not providing all requested documents or communicating they are closed.
 - It is probably still enough to say that you are researching to determine what exists, but provide a date you believe gives enough time to do so.



How can a lawyer help?

- Interpretation of request
- Request unique to your district?
- Identification of closed v. open records
- Redaction and citation
- Narrowing search terms
- Law firm e-discovery software!





Best Practices

- Review policies
 - Take advantage of all closed categories
 - Establish procedure for responding to Sunshine Act requests
 - Establish custodian of records and appointees
- Train building administrators on what to do if they receive a request for records
- Consider whether you will charge or waive fees
- Consult legal counsel when in doubt!





PERSONNEL / STUDENT ISSUES





Law & Policy

FERPA

IDEA

Sunshine Law

Nondiscrimination, Antiharassment laws

Board policies





Making Statements

Purpose:

- -Inform the public
- -Reassure the public
- Control the narrative
- Transparency
- -Obtain information?

PR interest v. Lawyer interest





Making Statements

- Legal Pitfalls
 - -Confidentiality
 - Claims of retaliation, discrimination, harassment
 - –Due process rights
 - -Defamation

Can we say SORRY?!?!





Best Practices

- Notices of administrative leave/ termination
 - -Consider the audience
 - Consider the purpose
 - -What information is public?
 - -Pivot to plan moving forward





Best Practices

- Statements re: School Incidents
 - Remember privacy rights
 - –What information is public?
 - –Consider audience / purpose
 - Direct people with any information to appropriate person
 - Reference board policies
 - Emphasize cooperation with law enforcement/ Children's Division
 - -Pivot to plan moving forward





SOCIAL MEDIA





Law & Policy

- Sunshine Law
- FERPA (Media exclusions!)
- IDEA confidentiality
- Nondiscrimination, antiharassment laws
- First Amendment





Law & Policy - District Pages

First Amendment Principles:

- Type of Forum:
 - Oclosed Forum
 - **OLimited Public Forum**
 - Open Forum
- **≻**Content Restrictions
- ➤ Viewpoint Neutrality
- ➤ Unprotected Speech





Best Practices

- Think through forum first!
- Control who can make official posts on your page
- Consider purpose & audience for each page
- Have clear policy for classrooms, clubs, sports, etc
- Be careful as to who uses the district's name





Concepts:

- Local school board has broad power to adopt curriculum.
- The speech of teachers at school usually bears the school's imprimatur
- A teacher's speech as part of his/her official duties is typically not protected by the First Amendment.
- The above factors shape the school's authority to regulate the speech of its employees.





Pickering v. Board of Education, 391 U.S. 563 (1968)

- Public employees have a First Amendment right to speak on matters of "public concern"
- Balancing test

Connick v. Myers, 461 U.S. 138 (1983)

 To determine whether an employee's speech is a matter of public concern, courts examine the "content, form, and context" of a given statement





1.) Is the employee speaking as a citizen or pursuant to official duties?

- For employee to be speaking as a private citizen, speech itself cannot have been made in the course of the employee's ordinary duties
- Does the speech relate to the employee's job duties?
- Was the speech made while on or off duty?
- "Chain of command speech," speech made by an employee to his superiors, is typically "employee" speech





- 2.) Is the speech related to an issue of public concern based on content, form, and context? Or, is the speech related to the employee's private concern?
 - "Public concern" =
 - political
 - social
 - other concern in the community
 - of legitimate news interest
 - Personal issues and grievances do not typically constitute issues of public concern



- 3.) If the speech is "protected," balance the employee's interests against those of the school.
 - Do the interests of the employee as a private citizen in commenting on matters of public concern outweigh the interests of the public employer in promoting the efficiency of the public services it performs?



Considerations:

- Need for harmony in the workplace;
- Whether the government's responsibilities require a close working relationship to exist between the employee and co-workers when the speech in question has caused or could cause the relationship to deteriorate;
- Time, manner, and place of the speech;
- Context in which the speech arose;
- Degree of public interest in the speech;
- Whether the speech impeded the employee's ability to perform his or her duties



Mahanoy Area Sch. Dist. V. B.L. → Reaffirmed concepts from *Tinker, Fraser*, etc.

- Students do not shed their constitutional rights to freedom of speech at the schoolhouse gate.
- Courts must apply the First Amendment "in light of the special characteristics of the school environment."
- Schools at times stand in loco parentis.
- Schools have a special interest in regulating speech that materially disrupts classwork or involves substantial disorder or invasion of the rights of others.



- Supreme Court held that school's regulatory interests remain significant in some off-campus circumstances.
- Circumstances where schools <u>may</u> regulate offcampus student speech:
 - Serious or severe bullying or harassment targeting particular students or staff
 - Threats aimed at teachers or other students
 - Failure to follow rules concerning lessons, the writing of papers, the use of computers, or participation in other online school activities
 - Breaches of school security devices





Three features of off-campus speech that distinguish schools' efforts to regulate it from efforts to regulate on-campus speech:

- 1. Schools rarely stand *in loco parentis* when children are off-campus. Discipline would fall to the parents.
- 2. Regulation of off-campus speech in addition to oncampus speech means all speech uttered in a 24-hour period would be regulated by school. Result would be student not engaging in that kind of speech at all. (Think of religious/political speech!)
- 3. School has an interest in protecting a student's unpopular expression.



Observations and Takeaways:

- Most off-campus speech is going to be outside the school's jurisdiction (especially religious/political).
- Schools may still have **some** authority to regulate offcampus speech, depending on the circumstances.
- Schools may look for "teachable moments" (rather than discipline) to react to concerning off-campus speech.
- More emphasis on "rights of others" prong?
- Be viewpoint neutral





Best Practices

- CAUTION about taking responsibility for what happens outside of campus/district activities
- Remember employee & student First Amendment rights when speaking outside school context
- Don't forget lawyer in crisis communications





Media Presence

- Board meetings?
- District property
 - School day
 - Before / After school

Reasonable Time, Place & Manner Restrictions





QUESTIONS?



